Class and Section: MIS4173-601 Date: 9/21/2020

## **Assignment: Sprint Review & Retrospective 2**

Group #: 8

Kayleigh Austin, Conner McCloskey, Zoe Peace, Tucker Pitts

SR&R 2 Documentation Grade: **95** SR&R 2 Presentation Grade: **97**

***Presentation graded with COB BCC Rubric by Richard O’Dor***

#### SR&R 2 – Overall

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Content  (Overall Project) | 4- Exceptional  3 -Good  2- Satisfactory  1- Poor  0 -Unacceptable | **Comments:**  You all have done a great job. Please look over our comments and address these areas in your documentation and presentation to improve your solution. Overall, both your presentation and your documentation are good. You are progressing well.  Dr. Kisling and Jarrett Eason (09/21/2020) |

#### SR&R 2 – Documentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Overall Organization** |  |  |
| Title Page | **2** | 2 |
| Table of Contents | **2** | 2 |
| **SR&R 2 Required Documents** |  |  |
| **Project Documents New, Fixes, & Updates** |  |  |
| Any Previous Documentation | **10** | 10 |
| Any New Documentation not listed below | **10** | 10 |
| Updated Use Case Diagram | **12** | 10 |
| Preliminary Non-functional Requirements | **12** | 10 |
| Updated Context Diagram | **12** | 11 |
| **Sprint Documents** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Backlog | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Burn Down Chart | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Daily SCRUM Meeting Log | **10** | 10 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 95 |

#### SR&R 2 – Presentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Presentation** |  |  |
| Overall Organization of Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| Project Updates (please include UCD & CD) | **25** | 22 |
| **Sprint Items** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Backlog | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Burn Down Chart | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Potentially Shippable Product Increment | **20** | 20 |
| **Discussion with Class** |  |  |
| Facilitation | **10** | 10 |
| Input from peers | **10** | 10 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 97 |

**Comments:**

Documentation:

* Non-functional requirements are weak and majority of them seem to be functional requirements that are worded to be non-functional.
* UCD is still very disorganized and definitely recommend revising.
* Context Diagram has a process to owner, but does the owner not input anything into the system?

Presentation:

* UCD is very disorganized; vertically align the cases
* CD needs a rounded rectangle for the information system
* Tucker did a lot of work this sprint!
* PSPI is very good